Air Permit Improvement Initiative

Announced by Sec. Hassett 6/24
Directed by Exec. Assistant Kluesner
One of Agency's Top Priorities in
Biennium

APII Objectives

Support Environmental Protection Goals

Reduce Permit Transaction Costs

Manage Decreasing Resources while
meeting Regulatory Obligations

APII Approach

Two Primary Focuses

Streamlining - Mary Jo Koepke lead

NSR "Retooling" - Lloyd Eagan lead

Official Appointment of Team

members forthcoming

NSR "Retooling" to NRB in Dec 03

Streamlining 2 year effort

NSR - Retooling

DNR Sideboards

Bottom Line Issues for DNR

- DNR's Has Four Bottom Line Issues:
- Impacts of NSR in Nonattainment Areas
- Impacts of NSR in Attainment Areas
- Protection of Local Ambient Air Quality
- Decreased Administrative Burden

Issue #1 - Nonattainment Areas

• Changes to the NSR program MUST result in decreased emissions in nonattainment areas over time to support our efforts to provide Wisconsin residents with air that meets national ambient air quality everywhere without deterring industrial growth.

Issue #2 - Attainment Areas

• The revised NSR program should provide emission decreases over time to protect **Increment** in areas of the state attaining ambient air quality standards in order to provide Wisconsin businesses with future business expansion opportunities without standards violations.

Issue # 3 - Local Impacts

• Protection to local ambient air quality standards must be preserved on a site-specific basis.

Issue #4 - Administration Burden

 Administrative burden and transaction costs for permitted sources and for WDNR should be minimized where possible

Issues WI Rules Will Address

- Clean Unit Exemptions
- Plant-wide Applicability Limits
- Pollution Prevention Projects
- Applicability Test
- Clarifications
- State Permits

Proposed Approach

- Support DNR's Bottom Line Issues
- Address EPA's program elements, include:
 - Incentives for voluntary emission control
 - Demonstrate equivalency where state program differs
- Resources to draw upon: STAPPA/ALAPCO model Rule 9/03 and "Kettl" report

- Clean Unit Exemptions -
 - Strike methods for "retroactive" establishment
 - Clean Units should be established prospectively
 - Clean Units should be established at current BACT or better level of control

• PAL's

- Using any 2 year period in last 10 to set baseline is JUST NOT Acceptable; DNR suggests looking back and taking an average of the last 5 years
- Length of PAL should 5 years and reissued upon Title 5 renewal. PAL at renewal set at the average emission rate.
- This leads to a declining actual PAL over time.

- Pollution Control Projects
 - Notice and Go Provisions for new sources should require sources to model to check for ambient air quality impacts
 - Modeling results should be submitted to DNR with the notice of Pollution Control Projects.

- Applicability Test
 - Baseline of past actual using the most recent 24 months to set the baseline has not been a problem in Wisconsin
 - PALS, Clean Units etc would reduce numbers it would apply to
 - DNR would propose keeping actual to potential test using 2 years of emissions date out of the last 5 years (vs 2 out of last 10 years)

Clarifications Needed - not in Federal Rules

- Clean Units in Nonattainment Areas
- Investment needed for Clean Units
- How to Address Toxics under PALS
- Demand based increases, projected future actuals, demand side of past actuals
- Future demand impacts related to pollution control projects.

State Permit Rules

- Streamline State Permit Rules
- Protect DNR's Bottom Line Issues

What to Tackle First?

- Clean Units
- PALS